COURT NO. 1, ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI (Through Video-Conferencing)

39. OA 2305/2019

Sub Krishnamurthy K (Retd) Versus

... Applicant

Union of India and others

... Respondents

For Applicant :

Mr. S.S. Pandey, Advocate

For Respondents: Mr

Mr. V. Pattabhi Ram, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE LT GEN P.M. HARIZ, MEMBER (A)

ORDER 24.11.2021

The applicant has filed this application under Sec 14 of Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 being aggrieved with the incorrect pay fixation in 6th Central Pay Commission, on the grounds that he had not exercised his option for pay fixation in the stipulated time and that he has not been given the benefit of the most beneficial option. The applicant has made the following prayers:

- (a) Call for the records based on which the respondents have taken a decision not to issue amendment in the policy dated 11.12.2013 in the light of judgment dated 10.12.2014 in O.A No. 113 of 2014 and quash the same;
- (b) Call for the records including the instructions based on which the respondents have cancelled the option and part II order of the applicant, recovered the pay and allowances which was revised based on his option and thereafter quash the same;
- (c) Direct the respondents to restore the Part II order published after exercise of option by the applicant in the





revised pay scale based on the 6th Pay Commission from the date of his promotion on 01.01.2007 and refund the amount deducted from him with further direction not only to grant him pay in the said revised scale but fix all his post retirement benefits from the date of his retirement till date based on the said revised last pay;

- (d) Direct the respondents to pay the applicant arrears of the difference of pay in the rank of Nb Sub and consequently in the rank of Sub after adjusting the payments already made by revising other allowances as per the revised rate including increment/DA, etc. earned till date along with interest @ 12% from the date it was payable till the date payment is made; and
- (a) Pass any other order/orders as deemed appropriate by this Tribunal in the facts and circumstances of the present case.
- 2. Brief facts of the case, according to the applicant, are that he was enrolled into the services of Indian Army on 31.12.1995. Thereafter, on 01.01.2007, when the recommendations of 6th CPC were yet to be implemented, he was promoted to the rank of Nb Sub. The implementation instructions for 6th CPC were issued vide SAI 1/S/2008 dated 11.10.2008. On 01.01.2011, the applicant was promoted to the rank of Sub and subsequently on 30.06.2016, he retired from service.
- 3. Since the applicant was unaware of the actual methodology of implementation; the fact that he was not specifically intimated, and since he was posted in a field area, he had not exercised the option of how his pay was to be fixed on promotion during the transition period of 01.01.2006 to 11.10.2008 within the stipulated time. The applicant exercised the option to fix his pay from the date of his promotion to the rank of Nb Sub i.e. 01.01.2007 and the Part II order for the same was published on

03.02.2012. Subsequently, the Part II order got cancelled and a sum of Rs.2,71,116/- was recovered at the time of retirement. The respondents, without examining which option would be more beneficial to the applicant, had mechanically fixed his pay, which unfortunately was not the most beneficial option for the applicant, as a result of which the applicant's pay has been fixed lower than his juniors in the rank of Nb Sub and Sub.

- 4. We have examined numerous cases pertaining to the incorrect pay fixation in 6th CPC merely on the grounds of option not being exercised in the stipulated time or applicants not exercising the option at all, and have issued orders that in all these cases the petitioners pay is to be re-fixed with the most beneficial option as stipulated in Para 14 of the SAI 1/S/2008 dated 11.10.2008. The matter of incorrect pay fixation has been exhaustively examined in *Sub M.L Shrivastava and others v. Union of India and others* (O.A No. 1182 of 2018 decided on 03.09.2021).
- 5. Based on the aforesaid, the Controller General Defence Accounts, vide Letter No. Army/BR/Pay/Ors/3500/Legal/E-1027 dated 08.11.2021, has advised all PCsDA/ CsDA and the CDA, IT&SDC, Secunderabad to take necessary/ timely action in the matter. IHQ of MoD (Army) has also been requested to issue necessary instructions to all concerned for submitting the cases of stepping up at par with their junior duly enclosing the requisite documents as per orders on the subject. This letter is extracted below:

No. Army/BR/Pay/Ors/3500/Legal/E-1027 Date:08.11.2021

To

- 1. All PCsDA/CsDA
- 2. CDA IT&SDC Secunderabad

Subject: Pay Fixation on transition to 6th CPC scales



from date of promotion: AFT (PB) New Delhi orders dated 03.09.2021 in OA No.1182/2018, 1314/2018 & 892/2019.

Reference:

IHQ of MoD letter No.C/7021/Pay/SAPCS/2021 dated 17.09.2021 and 04.1.2021 (copy anglesced)

enclosed).

Please find enclosed AFT (PB) New Delhi order dated 03.09.2021 in OA No.1182/2018, 1314/2018 & 892/2019 regarding ay fixation on transition to 6th CPC scales from date of promotion in a manner that is most beneficial to the applicants.

- 2. It is advised to issue suitable directions to all concerned for taking necessary/timely action as pronounced at Para 39 & 40 of ibid AFT order.
- 3. Further, a monthly progress/compliance report in this regard may be furnished to this HQrs. Office.

This issues with the approval of CGDA.

Sd/- Adury Srinivas Accounts Officer (Army)

Copy to:

1		For information w.r.t. your office letter cited above. It is requested that necessary
	SAPCS Brassey Avenue, Church Road, New Delhi 110001	instructions may be issued to all concerned for submitting the cases of stepping-up at par with their junior duly enclosing the requisite documents as per orders on the subject

Sd/- Adury Srinivas Accounts Officer (Army)

- 6. It is seen from CGDA's letter dated 08.11.2021 that IHQ of MoD (Army) has been requested to issue necessary instructions to all concerned to submit cases for stepping up at par with their juniors, duly enclosing the requisite documents as per orders on the subject.
- 7. This Tribunal has examined the issue of fixing the pay of personnel in the most beneficial manner applicable to the individual and has held that this is an institutional/organizational responsibility. The PAO was directed to *suo motu* examine the cases and provide the most beneficial option. The relevant paragraphs of the order in *Sub M.L Shrivastava* (supra) are reproduced below:

- In summary, we find that given the complexity of calculating pay and allowances, while the rules and regulations for implementation of 6th CPC had adequate safeguards to ensure that the most beneficial option was worked out and adopted for each individual, this has not been implemented with requisite seriousness and commitment by the Respondents, in particular the PAO(OR) who were the custodians to ensure this. This has resulted in serious financial implications to individuals including loss of pay and allowances whilst in service and on retirement. This has also resulted in financial loss to those who transited to 7th CPC with incorrect fixation of pay in the 6th CPC. The only ground for denial of the most beneficial pay scale to the applicants and many others who are similarly placed is that either the individuals did not exercise an option for pay fixation, or they exercised it late, beyond the perceived stipulated period. In the given circumstances, the respondents themselves should have taken steps to remove this anomaly, and ease out the issue for the serving soldiers, many of whom may not be knowledgeable about the intricacies of these calculations, in the full knowledge that that no one will ever knowingly opt for a less beneficial option. We emphasise the fact that it's the responsibility of the Respondents and the service authority to look after the interests of its own subordinate personnel.
- 39. In view of the above, the three OAs under consideration are allowed and we direct the Respondents to:-
 - (a) Review the pay fixed of the applicants and after due verification re-fix their pay under 6^{th} CPC in a manner that is most beneficial to the applicants.
 - (b) Thereafter re-fix their pay in all subsequent ranks and on transition to 7^{th} CPC where applicable, and also ensure that they are not drawing less pay than their juniors.
 - (c) Re-fix all pensionary and post retiral benefits accordingly.
 - (d) Issue all arrears and fresh PPO where applicable, within three months of this order and submit a compliance report.
- 40. In view of the fact that there are a large number of pending cases which are similarly placed and fall into Category A or B, this order will be applicable in rem to all such affected personnel. Respondents are directed to take suo motu action on applications filed by similarly aggrieved personnel and instruct concerned PAO(OR) to verify records and re-fix their pay in 6th CPC accordingly.
- 8. With regard to the letter dated 08.11.221 issued by the CGDA, the respondents are directed to issue necessary instructions to all

PCsDA/CsDA that all cases be examined by the PAO (OR) without calling for any fresh representations/additional inputs and that such cases be examined with the available information held with respective PAO (OR), utilizing the pay and allowances management system (Dolphin).

- 9. In view of the foregoing, we allow this O.A and direct the respondents to:
 - (a) Review the pay fixed of the applicant on his promotion to Nb Sub in the 6th CPC and after due verification, re-fix his pay in a manner that is most beneficial to him, while ensuring that he does not draw less pay than his juniors;
 - (b) Thereafter re-fix his pay in all subsequent ranks and on transition to 7th CPC; and
 - (a) Issue all arrears, including the amount recovered, if any, within three months of this order.

(RAJENDRA MENON) CHAIRPERSON

> (P.M. HARIZ) MEMBER (A)

Alex